	Case 4:10-cv-03108-SBA Document 46 Filed 12/28/10 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	SAN JOSE DIVISION
1112	OSCAR MADRIGAL SENCION,) Case No.: C 10-03108 PSG
13	Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
14	y. MOTION TO PERMIT COUNSEL TO APPEAR TELEPHONICALLY AT
15) PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO AMEND AND DEFENDANT SAXONS'
16	al., Defendants. MOTION TO DISMISS [Docket No. 44]
17	
18	Plaintiff's Motion to Amend and Defendant Saxon's ("Saxon") Motion to Strike and
19	Motion to Dismiss will be heard on January 4, 2011. On December 22, 2010, counsel for
2021	Plaintiff filed a request to appear telephonically at that hearing. Based on the request and the file
22	herein,
23	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's request is DENIED. Plaintiff has not
24	established good cause for requested relief. Plaintiff contends that traveling to this courthouse
25	from Los Angeles would be wasteful in light of the likely brevity of the hearing. The burden of
26	appearing in court, however, is a normal burden of litigation. Furthermore, counsel's appearance
27	is strongly preferred when the subject of the hearing could dispose of a claim or defense of a
28	party, regardless of counsel's prediction about the hearing's duration. Plaintiff has not shown any
	specific facts that would warrant relieving it of having its counsel appear in court for Plaintiff's
	$O_{PPPP} = 1$

Case 4:10-cv-03108-SBA Document 46 Filed 12/28/10 Page 2 of 2

1	Motion to Amend and Saxon's Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike.
2	
3	Dated: December 28, 2010
4	Paul S. Aure Paul S. Grewal
5	United States Magistrate Judge
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17 18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	